2

3 4

5

6 7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

mandatory arbitration.

23

24

25

### MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

### Statement of Issues

The essential issue presented in this case is whether plaintiff, upon moving to Washington, intended to remain there indefinitely?

### Statement of Facts

Plaintiff filed a complaint on April 11, 2008 with an answer due on May 14, 2008. Plaintiff's attorney, Matthew Kurilich (Kurilich), received a phone call from defendant's counsel, Neil Bloomfield (Bloomfield), on May 12, 2008 asking for a 28-day extension to answer the complaint on June 11, 2008 as Bloomfield stated he had yet to meet with his client and he needed time to answer the detailed complaint. Declaration of Matthew Kurilich. Kurilich granted the extension. *Id.* On June 9, 2008, Kurilich received a letter from defendant's counsel, Bloomfield, requesting mediation and binding arbitration. *Id.* On June 10, 2008, Kurilich called Bloomfield and had a brief conversation where Kurilich granted a second extension to file an answer and further agreed that Kurilich would draft and deliver a proposed stipulation for binding arbitration, which was completed and delivered via email on June 11, 2008 at 1:46 p.m. *Id.* Kurilich and Bloomfield did not discuss the motion to dismiss or the motion to stay. Id. Had Bloomfield stated his intention to file either motion, we would have given him the declaration of Mark Morrison regarding his intention to stay in Washington indefinitely, and we had already agreed to binding arbitration making the second motion moot. On June 11, 2008 at 5:22 p.m., Bloomfield filed a motion to dismiss for lack of

# jurisdiction and at 5:45 p.m. he filed a motion to stay proceedings and discovery pending

## Plaintiff is a Citizen of Washington

"The district courts shall have original jurisdiction of all civil actions where the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$75,000, and is between: citizens of different States."

28 U.S.C. §1332. Diversity of citizenship requires that all parties to the action be "citizens" of different states. *Id.* To establish citizenship for diversity purposes, a natural person must be both (a) a citizen of the U.S. and (b) a domiciliary of one particular state. *Kantor v. Wellesly Galleries, Ltd.*, 704 F.2d 1088, 1090 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1983); *Coury v. Prot*, 85 F.3d 244, 249-250 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1996).

For diversity purposes, a person is a "citizen" of the state in which he or she is domiciled. Since the issue is one of federal jurisdiction, the question of where a person is domiciled is determined by federal law. *Kantor*, 704 F.2d at 1090. As with other jurisdictional determinations, the court looks to the parties' domicile at the time the lawsuit was filed. *LeBlanc v. Cleveland*, 248 F.3d 95, 100 (2<sup>nd</sup> Cir. 2001). A person's domicile is the place he or she resides with the intention to remain. *Kanter v. Warner-Lambert Co.*, 265 F3d 853, 857 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2001). A person legally capable of selecting his or her own domicile does so by establishing (i) some physical presence in a new location (ii) with intent to remain indefinitely. *Lew v. Moss*, 797 F2d 747, 750 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1986); REST.2D CONFLICTS, §18. *Gilbert v. David*, 235 US 561, 569 (1915); *McDonald v. Equitable Life Ins. Co. of Iowa*, 13 F.Supp.2d. 1279, 1281 (M.D. Ala. 1998).

If the requisite intent exists, a person acquires a new domicile immediately upon arriving at the new location, *Walls v. Ahmed*, 832 F.Supp. 940, 941 (E.D. P.A. 1993); REST.2D CONFLICTS, §16, and intent is gauged by various objective factors: e.g., length of residence, employment, location of assets, voting registration, address shown on driver's license, payment of state taxes, etc. *Altimore v. Mt.Mercy College*, 420 F.3d 763, 768-769 (8<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2005); *Acridge v. Evangelical Lutheran Good Samaritan Soc.*, 334 F.3d 444, 448 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2003). The objective facts show that Plaintiff has lived—and intended to remain indefinitely—in the State of Washington since August 2007, i.e., approximately 8 months before the Complaint was filed.

Plaintiff moved from San Anselmo, California to Detroit, Oregon in August 2006, but returned to Fairfax, California November 2006 to ensure the completion of the California

property construction that is at issue in this Complaint.<sup>1</sup> Declaration of Mark Morrison. After that construction was essentially complete, Plaintiff moved his family to Langley, Washington in August 2007 to begin the school year, where he is bound to a two-year lease agreement for a single-family residence. *Id*.

Plaintiff's wife and three young children moved with him and currently reside with him in Washington. *Id.* The children have been enrolled at the Whidbey Island Waldorf School since August 2007 and are signed up for the 2008-2009 school year. *Id.* Plaintiff is a member of the board of the Whidbey Island Waldorf School. *Id.* Plaintiff and his family have established many active social relationships in Washington. *Id.* Plaintiff has a verbal understanding to purchase real property as his primary residence in Washington, and is waiting on an application for the abandonment of a county road easement to process before closing escrow. *Id.* Plaintiff has established a relationship with a licensed Washington real estate broker and is actively looking for investment real estate in Washington. *Id.* 

Plaintiff is a registered Washington voter and attended the local Island County caucus on behalf of Barrack Obama. *Id.* Plaintiff has a Washington Driver's license. *Id.* Plaintiff did not attach a copy of his driver's license due to the fact that defendant, Uli Zangpo, made a death threat against Plaintiff's life and does not want defendant to know where he lives. *Id.* Plaintiff has a Washington doctor and dentist. *Id.* Plaintiff has a vehicle registered in California, Oregon and Washington.<sup>2</sup> *Id.* Plaintiff opened new personal and business bank accounts in Washington and has maintained a California business account primarily for cost effective online banking. *Id.* Plaintiff has various credit cards issued from various institutions located in various states with all registered to Plaintiff's mailing address in Washington. *Id.* Plaintiff's primary mailing address

That California property was an investment property purchased through a 1031 exchange.

is Washington. *Id.* Plaintiff has insurance agents in California, Oregon and Washington. *Id.* Plaintiff does not have a stockbroker, but does have a small IRA with a California broker and a brokerage account in Washington. *Id.* Plaintiff has maintained a personal and business relationship with his California accountant for approximately 11 years and continues to use the same accountant. *Id.* 

Plaintiff maintains a broad-based law practice, with cases currently filed in Indiana State Court and United States District Court Northern District of Indiana (consumer class action), United States District Court Central District of California (F.L.S.A. case) and recently settled a case slated for trial in the United States District Court Middle District of Florida (A.D.A. case). *Id.* Plaintiff's California Bar license mailing address is in Washington. Plaintiff intends to sit for the Washington Bar. *Id.* Plaintiff uses his Washington address for all current business relations, but maintained a California mailbox service to accommodate his transition to Washington from California. *Id.* The service will soon expire. *Id.* 

In short, Plaintiff moved his family and himself to Washington with the intention of making Washington his primary residence indefinitely in August 2007. The Complaint was filed on April 11, 2008. This Court has jurisdiction.

#### **CONCLUSION**

The Court should deny the motion to dismiss for lack of diversity jurisdiction.

Plaintiff is in the process of transferring the California vehicle. Plaintiff owns a cabin in Oregon and has a truck registered in that state. Plaintiff's primary vehicle is a Honda Odyssey registered in Washington.

	Case 3.00-cv-01945-EIVIC	Document 17 Filed 00/20/2006 Page 6 01 6
1	Dated: 6-20-08	Respectfully submitted,
2		MATT KURILICH ATTORNEY AT LAW
3		
4		By: /s/Matt Kurilich
5		Matt Kurilich, Attorney for Plaintiff
6	Co-Counsel	
7	Mark Morrison, Bar Number 152561 220 Second ST, No. 30	
8	Langley, WA 98260 Tel 360-221-0253	
9	Fax 360-851-2010 mark@markmorrisonlaw.com	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
		6
	DI ADIEIEE ODDOGENOVE OF THE	6
	PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFE	NDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS FOR LACK OF JURISDICTION